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Abstract:

As standards evolve, both in versions and in scope, it is necessary to adopt newer technologies. This poses problems in terms of already-provisioned federations as well as in using combinations of frameworks that were not foreseen at the time when the specifications were written.

This technote provides pragmatic solutions for these situations, providing equivalence or interoperability of Name IDs as well as specifying how all known combinations of SSO assertions and bootstraps are represented.
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1. Introduction

As standards evolve, both in versions and in scope, it is necessary to adopt newer technologies. This poses problems in terms of already-provisioned federations as well as in using combinations of frameworks that were not foreseen at the time when the specifications were written.

This technote provides specific solutions to these advanced problems. For introductory material, see [LibertyIDWS-FGuide10].

1.1. Notational Conventions

In case of disagreement between the present document and any guidelines or [XML] schema descriptions, this document is prescriptive. Any published errata is hereby incorporated to this document by reference and as such is normative.

The key words "MUST," "MUST NOT," "REQUIRED," "SHALL," "SHALL NOT," "SHOULD," "SHOULD NOT," "RECOMMENDED," "MAY," and "OPTIONAL" in this specification are to be interpreted as described in IETF [RFC2119].
2. Name ID Compatibility Between SAML 1.x, ID-FF 1.x, and SAML 2.0

SAML assertions are the basis of most modern Single Sign-On (SSO) and Federation Frameworks. There is a frequent need to migrate already-existing federations between the different versions of SAML assertions or, indeed, serve from the same federation database, both SAML 2.0 [SAMLCore2] and SAML 1.1 [SAMLCore] assertions, simultaneously, as would be necessary in heterogeneous environments of partners supporting different versions of SAML.

Historically, Liberty ID-FF and, lately, SAML 2.0 have evolved towards a better understood and more coherent Name ID, or pseudonym, format and management system. The SAML 2.0 incarnation represents the current culmination of this evolution. While the Name ID format varies between SAML versions and while the additional semantics attached by various Liberty ID-FF versions vary, as well, it is possible to define a least common denominator format. In this discussion, we are mainly concerned with pseudonyms because they are characteristically used in federation databases. Other formats, such as temporary Name IDs, do not present similar problems.

By adopting the conventions described below, it is possible to support many types of federation protocols from one federation database.

2.1. An Introduction to Name IDs

A SAML 1.1 <NameIdentifier> carries NameQualifier and Format [XML] attributes. See [SAMLCore] Section 2.4.2.2 "Element <NameIdentifier>"., p. 18.

A SAML 2.0 <NameID>, which is of XSD type NameIDType, carries NameQualifier, SPNameQualifier, Format, and SPProvidedID [XML] attributes. See [SAMLCore2], Section 2.2.2 "Complex Type NameIDType," p. 13.

Liberty ID-FF 1.2 [LibertyProtSchema], Section 3.1.11.1 "Deprecation of ID-FF 1.1 Name Identifier Practices," discusses some problems that older versions of the specifications have with respect to Name IDs.

2.2. NameQualifiers Across Versions

For each federation, the IdP always assigns a Name ID for the Principal, but it is qualified by the namespace of the SP towards which the Principal is federated. By convention, the namespace qualification is expressed by carrying the Provider ID or Entity ID of the SP, or the affiliation to which the SP belongs, in the NameQualifier. Both versions of SAML assertions work the same in this regard.

Versions of ID-FF prior to 1.2 did not support affiliations and did not require any NameQualifier to be specified, but, unfortunately, allowed it to be specified without specifying what the allowable values were. If an old federation has a nonstandard NameQualifier, then that should be kept in a database and reproduced when using Liberty ID-FF 1.0 or 1.1 protocols. However, when talking Liberty ID-FF 1.2 or SAML 2.0 protocol, the old NameQualifier MUST be ignored and the Provider ID or Entity ID used instead.

For each federation, it is possible for the SP to register an additional Name ID, which will be sent back to the SP whenever the IdP talks to the SP about the given federation. However, Liberty ID-FF 1.2 and SAML 2.0 behave differently in this regard. In SAML 2.0, the SP Name ID is always carried in SPProvidedID, which can be namespace-qualified using SPNameQualifier, which contains the affiliation ID of the SP, if any, or, otherwise, the entity ID of the SP.

ID-FF 1.x extended the SAML Subject to add an IDPProvidedNameIdentifier element in addition to <NameIdentifier>. In the case where there is no SP-provided Name ID, then both Subject/NameIdentifier and Subject/IDPProvidedNameIdentifier are the IdP-provided Name ID. In the case where there is an SP-provided Name ID, it goes in Subject/NameIdentifier and Subject/IDPProvidedNameIdentifier is the IdP-provided Name ID.
In SAML 1.1, there is no special way to express the SP-registered Name ID. By convention, in communications towards the SP, the `<NameIdentifier>` contains (properly namespace-qualified) the SP-registered Name ID, if any, or, otherwise, the IdP-assigned Name ID. In communications towards the IdP, the `<NameIdentifier>` always carries the IdP-assigned Name ID.

NameQualifier and Format in an ID-FF SP-provided Name ID is discarded when translating to SAML 2.0 unless they happen to correspond to the SAML 2.0-specified values. Clearly, this could be problematic and, practically, it restricts interoperability to the cases where implementations are not dependent on these values being preserved. ID-FF 1.x deployments that are using these features may need to take the step of updating their federations to be SAML 2.0-compatible before attempting migration or interoperability.

In various versions of Liberty ID-FF, different rules, which may or may not differ from the base convention, apply to what is appropriate to carry in the `<NameIdentifier>` when using the Name ID Registration protocol. Understanding these is left as an exercise to the reader.

**Conclusion:** NameQualifiers are interoperable between SAML 2.0, Liberty ID-FF 1.2, and SAML 1.1. Earlier versions of Liberty ID-FF require special case treatment.

### 2.3. Name ID Formats

In SAML, a Name ID may have different formats. Of interest here is the *pseudonymous* format, a.k.a. *persistent* format. SAML 1.1 [SAMLCore], Section 7.3 "NameIdentifier Format Identifiers," p. 49, does not specify this format, but Liberty ID-FF 1.2 [LibertyProtSchema], Section 3.2.2.3 "SubjectType and Related Types," p. 18, specifies `urn:liberty:iff:nameid:federated` and, in Section 3.2.1.1 "Element `<AuthnRequest>`," p. 14, a corresponding `<NameIDPolicy>` enumerator federated. SAML 2.0 [SAMLCore2], Section 8.3.7 "Persistent Identifier," p. 79, specifies `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent` and also specifies, in Section 3.4.1.1 "Element `<NameIDPolicy>`," p. 50, that the same enumerator is used as `NameIDPolicy`.

We adopt the convention that `urn:liberty:iff:nameid:federated` and `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:persistent` are treated synonymously such that if a federation database has a Name ID in the former format, it MUST be reported in SAML 2.0 transactions as the latter format, and if a database has a Name ID in the latter format, it MUST be reported in SAML 1.1 or Liberty ID-FF transactions as the former format.

We also adopt the convention that ID-FF 1.2 `urn:liberty:iff:nameid:one-time` is mapped to `urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:transient` and vice versa, as needed.

Both versions of SAML specify the Name ID as `xs:string`, thus, the actual value of the Name ID does NOT have compatibility issues. However, we RECOMMEND that Name IDs be URIs for improved compatibility and be restricted to the character set of safe base 64 encoding [RFC3548] for maximum compatibility.

**Conclusion:** Name ID Formats are interoperable by treating federated (ID-FF) and persistent (SAML 2.0) as equivalent.

3.1. Guidance for Cross Use of SSO and WS Frameworks

Single Sign-On (SSO) frameworks (often referred to as Federation Frameworks), such as SAML 2.0 [SAMLCore2] and Liberty ID-FF 1.2 [LibertyProtSchema], are nearly entirely disjoint from Identity Web Services frameworks such as Liberty ID-WSF 1.0, ID-WSF 1.1 [LibertyIDWSFGuide10], and ID-WSF 2.0 [LibertyIDWSFGuide]. The only connection occurs when, as part of an SSO, a discovery bootstrap is conveyed. Therefore, it is desirable to decouple the choice of SSO framework from the choice of Identity Web Services frameworks.

Each framework makes an independent choice of the version of SAML assertions that is used within its own sphere. A different version can be profitably used in each sphere, thus all combinations in the accompanying table are valid. However, Liberty ID-FF 1.2 with ID-WSF 2.0, but only using SAML 1.1 assertions for both, is NOT valid. Similarly, SAML 2.0 with ID-WSF 1.1, but only using SAML 2.0 assertions for both, is not valid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSO Assertion Version (Bootstrap Assertion Version)</th>
<th>ID-WSF 1.1</th>
<th>ID-WSF 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ID-WSF 1.1</td>
<td>SAML 2.0 (SAML 1.1)</td>
<td>SAML 2.0 (SAML 2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberty ID-FF 1.2</td>
<td>SAML 1.1 (SAML 1.1)</td>
<td>SAML 1.1 (SAML 2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The SSO operation results in a Federation framework-dependent version of a SAML assertion that carries an ID-WSF version-dependent SAML assertion. All versions of SAML assertions support attribute statements and an attribute statement\(^1\) is capable of carrying an arbitrary payload. There is no problem in the inner assertion being of a different version than the assertion carrying the attribute statement. Implementations wishing to support cross operation will simply need to support multiple versions of SAML.

3.2. Trivial Interoperability

Interoperability of Liberty ID-FF 1.2 with Liberty ID-WSF 1.1 is described in [LibertyDisco12], Section 6 "SAML AttributeDesignator for Discovery ResourceOffering."

Interoperability of Liberty ID-FF 1.2 and SAML 2.0 with Liberty ID-WSF 2.0 is described in [LibertyDisco].

3.3. Interoperability Between SAML 2.0 SSO and Liberty ID-WSF 1.1

It turns out that Liberty ID-WSF 1.1 in [LibertyDisco12], Section 6 "SAML AttributeDesignator for Discovery ResourceOffering," p. 23, appears to have an unnecessary restriction hampering interoperability in that the namespace prefix saml: actually is bound to SAML 1.1. There is no need to make this restriction.

To carry a Liberty ID-WSF 1.1 bootstrap in a SAML 2.0 SSO assertion, the following convention is adopted.

- The Attribute/@Name MUST be "urn:liberty:disco:2003-08:DiscoveryResourceOffering."
- The Attribute/@NameFormat MUST be "urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri."
- One or more <AttributeValue> elements MUST be included and each of them MUST contain a single <ResourceOffering> (usually referring to a Discovery Service).

\(^1\)For ID-WSF 1.x, the security token is carried in Advice, not in the attribute value.
• The `<ResourceOffering>` that is inside the `<AttributeStatement>` may contain `<CredentialRef>` elements referring to credentials that are necessary to access the service. These IDs SHOULD resolve to an [XML] element contained within the `Advice` element of the SSO assertion.

Example

```
<saml2:Attribute
    NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri"
    Name="urn:liberty:disco:2003-08:DiscoveryResourceOffering">
    <sbl:ResourceOffering> ... </sbl:ResourceOffering>
</saml2:Attribute>
```

Conclusion: Interoperability is achieved by treating SAML 1.1 `AttributeName` and `AttributeNamespace` fields as SAML 2.0 `Attribute/@Name` and `Attribute/@NameFormat` fields, respectively. The bootstrap attribute name value and format value are determined by the respective Liberty ID-WSF specifications.

3.4. General Interoperability Between SSO and ID-WSF

In general, any SSO protocol that can carry generic attributes can be used with ID-WSF by embedding the bootstrap as an attribute. The attribute name and name format should respect what is defined in respective ID-WSF bootstrap specifications.

For the specific case of ID-WSF 1.x, where the credential is not carried in an attribute but rather in the `Advice`, the putative SSO - ID-WSF cross operation scheme needs to specify a specific solution such as a special `credential` attribute.

For those developing new such mappings, please keep the Liberty Alliance up to date on such through Liberty’s [LibertyFeedback] process. Such submissions will be reviewed in light of adding them here.
4. Examples

The following two examples illustrate different combinations of Federation Frameworks and ID Web Services Frameworks. They also show how a Federation Frameworks can simultaneously support both ID Web Services Frameworks by simply returning two bootstraps.

4.1. SAML 2.0 SSO with ID-WSF 1.1 and 2.0 Bootstraps

```xml
<sa:Assertion
    xmlns:sa="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
    ID="ARFAmiaI5TXCcPKchcZ_R"
    IssueInstant="2006-03-10T01:31:12Z"
    Version="2.0">
    <sa:Issuer
        Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity">
        https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml
    </sa:Issuer>
    <ds:Signature xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
        <ds:SignedInfo>
            <ds:CanonicalizationMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
            <ds:SignatureMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
            <ds:Reference URI="#ARFAmiaI5TXCcPKchcZ_R">
                <ds:Transforms>
                    <ds:Transform Algorithm="w3:xmldsig#enveloped-signature"/>
                    <ds:Transform Algorithm="w3:xml-exc-c14n#"/>
                </ds:Transforms>
            </ds:Reference>
            <ds:DigestMethod Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
            <ds:DigestValue>2siB09gKiQ3b9CimyCt8uHgxFXM=</ds:DigestValue>
        </ds:SignedInfo>
        <ds:SignatureValue>ZI0Vz...HrUu2o=</ds:SignatureValue>
    </ds:Signature>
    <sa:Subject>
        <sa:NameID
            Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format: persistent"
            NameQualifier="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml">
            PGCTWDfZmWApzRT_ZeOB4</sa:NameID>
        <sa:SubjectConfirmation Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:be arer">
            <sa:SubjectConfirmationData
                NotOnOrAfter="2006-03-10T01:41:11Z"
                Recipient="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8843/SP-A"/>
        </sa:SubjectConfirmation>
    </sa:Subject>
    <sa:Conditions NotBefore="2006-03-10T01:26:12Z" NotOnOrAfter="2006-03-10T01:41:12Z">
        <sa:AudienceRestriction/>
    </sa:Conditions>
    <sa:AuthnStatement AuthnInstant="2006-03-10T01:31:12Z" SessionIndex="1141954271-1">
        <sa:AuthnContext>
        </sa:AuthnContext>
    </sa:AuthnStatement>
    <sa:AttributeStatement>

<!-- ID-WSF 1.1 Bootstrap -->

```
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org/profiles/WSF1.1/RID-DISCO-sue
</disco:ResourceID>
<disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:ServiceType>urn:liberty:disco:2003-08</disco:ServiceType>
<disco:Description>
<disco:SecurityMechID>
</disco:SecurityMechID>
</disco:Description>
</disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:Abstract>Symlabs Discovery Service Team G</disco:Abstract>
</disco:ResourceOffering>

<!-- ID-WSF 2.0 Bootstrap -->

<sa:Attribute
Name="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08:DiscoveryEPR"
NameFormat="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format:uri">
<wsa:EndpointReference xmlns:wsa="http://www.w3.org/2005/08/addressing" entryID="2">
<wsa:Metadata>
<disco:Abstract xmlns:disco="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08">
Symlabs Discovery Service Team G
</disco:Abstract>
<disco:ProviderID xmlns:disco="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08">
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml
</disco:ProviderID>
<disco:ServiceType xmlns:disco="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08">
urn:liberty:disco:2006-08
</disco:ServiceType>
<disco:SecurityMechID>
<disco:SecurityMechID>
<sec:Token>
<sa:Assertion
ID="CREDJxYfEtEabJYOVD5Mbf42"
IssueInstant="2006-03-10T01:31:12Z"
Version="2.0">
<sa:Issuer
Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity">
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml
</sa:Issuer>
<ds:Signature xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
<ds:CanonicalizationMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
<ds:SignatureMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
<ds:Reference URI="#CREDJxYfEtEabJYOVD5Mbf42">
<ds:Transforms>
<ds:Transform Algorithm="w3:xmlsig#enveloped-signature"/>
</ds:Transforms>
<ds:DigestMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
<ds:DigestValue>
AHzzZvqRH/su5755Tb3OGmEBM4=
</ds:DigestValue>
</ds:Reference>
<ds:SignedInfo>
<ds:SignatureValue>rABK91+1...N/CuiM="</ds:SignatureValue>
</ds:Signature>
4.2. ID-FF 1.2 SSO with ID-WSF 1.1 and 2.0 Bootstraps

<saml:assertion
    xmlns:lib="urn:liberty:iff:2003-08"
    xmlns:saml="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:assertion"
    xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
    AssertionID="ARFAHo2R6kXmv9W9XrQM"
    InResponseTo="RaUb5vfg_19khwnR4F0mW"
    IssueInstant="2006-03-10T02:41:05Z"
    Issuer="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml"
    MajorVersion="1"
    MinorVersion="2"
    xsi:type="lib:AssertionType">
    <saml:Conditions
        NotBefore="2006-03-10T02:39:05Z"
        NotOnOrAfter="2006-03-10T02:41:05Z">
        <saml:Audience>
            https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8843/sp.xml
        </saml:Audience>
    </saml:Conditions>
    <saml:AuthenticationStatement
        AuthenticationInstant="2006-03-10T02:41:05Z"
        AuthenticationMethod="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:am:password"
        SessionIndex="1141958463-1"
        xsi:type="lib:AuthenticationStatementType">
        <saml:Subject
            xsi:type="lib:SubjectType">
            <saml:NameIdentifier
                Format="urn:liberty:iff:nameid:federated"
                NameQualifier="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8843/sp.xml">FFAXR79p6NFy72j_nS7Xt</saml:NameIdentifier>
        </saml:Subject>
    </saml:AuthenticationStatement>
</saml:assertion>
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Format="urn:liberty:iff:nameid:federated"
NameQualifier="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8843/sp.xml">
PPAXR79p6NFy72j_nS7Xt
</lib:IDPProvidedNameIdentifier>
</saml:Subject>
</saml:AuthenticationStatement>
</saml:AttributeStatement xsi:type="lib:AttributeStatementType">
<saml:Subject xsi:type="lib:SubjectType">
<saml:NameIdentifier
Format="urn:liberty:iff:nameid:federated"
NameQualifier="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8843/sp.xml">PFAXR79p6NFy72j_nS7Xt
</saml:NameIdentifier>
<saml:SubjectConfirmation>
<ConfirmationMethod>
urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:1.0:cm:bearer</ConfirmationMethod>
</saml:SubjectConfirmation>
</lib:IDPProvidedNameIdentifier>
</saml:Subject>
</saml:Attribute>
</saml:Attribute>
</saml:Attribute>
</saml:Attribute>

<!-- ID-WSF 2.0 Bootstrap -->

<saml:Attribute
AttributeName="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08:DiscoveryEPR"
AttributeNamespace="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:attrname-format: uri">
<AttributeValue>
<disco:ResourceOffering
xmlns:disco="urn:liberty:disco:2006-08" entryID="2">
<disco:ResourceID>
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org/profiles/WSF1.1/RID-DISCO-sue
</disco:ResourceID>
<disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:ServiceType>
urn:liberty:disco:2006-08</disco:ServiceType>
<disco:ProviderID>
<disco:Description>
</disco:SecurityMechID>
<disco:Endpoint>
</disco:Description>
</disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:Abstract>Symlabs Discovery Service Team G</disco:Abstract>
</disco:ResourceOffering>
</AttributeValue>
</saml:Attribute>

<!-- ID-WSF 1.1 Bootstrap -->

<saml:Attribute
AttributeName="DiscoveryResourceOffering"
AttributeNamespace="urn:liberty:disco:2003-08">
<AttributeValue>
<disco:ResourceOffering
xmlns:disco="urn:liberty:disco:2003-08" entryID="2">
<disco:ResourceID>
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org/profiles/WSF1.1/RID-DISCO-sue
</disco:ResourceID>
<disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:ServiceType>
urn:liberty:disco:2003-08</disco:ServiceType>
<disco:ProviderID>
<disco:Description>
</disco:SecurityMechID>
<disco:Endpoint>
</disco:Description>
</disco:ServiceInstance>
<disco:Abstract>Symlabs Discovery Service Team G</disco:Abstract>
</disco:ResourceOffering>
</AttributeValue>
</saml:Attribute>
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml
<disco:ServiceType
</disco:ServiceType>
</disco:SecurityContext>
<sec:Token>
<sa:Assertion
xmlns:sa="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:assertion"
ID="CRED7I6vzj8rGuoATD1QAYZG"
IssueInstant="2006-03-10T02:41:04Z"
Version="2.0">
<sa:Issuer
Format="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:nameid-format:entity">
https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml
</sa:Issuer>
<ds:Signature xmlns:ds="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
<ds:SignedInfo>
<ds:CanonicalizationMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
<ds:SignatureMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
<ds:Reference URI="#CRED7I6vzj8rGuoATD1QAYZG">
<ds:Transforms>
<ds:Transform
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature"/>
<ds:Transform
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
</ds:Transforms>
<ds:DigestMethod
Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
<ds:DigestValue>sQhsTDCk24L7QIqePR7va4BX4z4=</ds:DigestValue>
</ds:Reference>
</ds:SignedInfo>
<ds:SignatureValue>kmlM...N/F2Y=</ds:SignatureValue>
</ds:Signature>
<sa:Subject>
<sa:NameID
NameQualifier="https://s-ps.liberty-iop.org:8881/idp.xml"
gAw_-39hHN?cTS4cil17vFEGnqSmUlfHFgqJznr_QO"
>
</sa:NameID>
<sa:SubjectConfirmation
Method="urn:oasis:names:tc:SAML:2.0:cm:bearer"/>
</sa:Subject>
<sa:Conditions
NotBefore="2006-03-10T02:36:04Z"
NotOnOrAfter="2006-03-10T02:51:04Z"/>
<sa:AudienceRestriction/>
</sa:Audience>
</sa:Assertion>
</sec:Token>
</wsa:SecurityContext>
</wsa:EndpointReference>
</saml:AttributeValue>
</saml:Attribute>
</saml:AttributeStatement>
</saml:Assertion>
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