



1

2 **Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines**

3

Version 1.1

4

5

15 January 2003

6 **Document Description:** liberty-architecture-implementation-guidelines-v1.1

7 **Notice**

8 Copyright © 2002,2003 ActivCard; American Express Travel Related Services; America Online,
9 Inc.; Bank of America; Bell Canada; Catavault; Cingular Wireless; Cisco Systems, Inc.; Citigroup;
10 Communicator, Inc.; Consignia; Cyberun Corporation; Deloitte & Touche LLP; Earthlink, Inc.;
11 Electronic Data Systems, Inc.; Entrust, Inc.; Ericsson; Fidelity Investments; France Telecom;
12 Gemplus; General Motors; Hewlett-Packard Company; i2 Technologies, Inc.; Intuit Inc.;
13 MasterCard International; NEC Corporation; Netegrity; NeuStar; Nextel Communications; Nippon
14 Telegraph and Telephone Company; Nokia Corporation; Novell, Inc.; NTT DoCoMo, Inc.;
15 OneName Corporation; Openwave Systems Inc.; PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP; Register.com;
16 RSA Security Inc; Sabre Holdings Corporation; SAP AG; SchlumbergerSema; SK Telecom; Sony
17 Corporation; Sun Microsystems, Inc.; United Airlines; VeriSign, Inc.; Visa International;
18 Vodafone Group Plc; Wave Systems;. All rights reserved.

19 This specification document has been prepared by Sponsors of the Liberty Alliance. Permission is
20 hereby granted to use the document solely for the purpose of implementing the Specification. No
21 rights are granted to prepare derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to
22 reproduce portions of this document for other uses must contact the Liberty Alliance to determine
23 whether an appropriate license for such use is available.

24 Implementation of certain elements of this Specification may require licenses under third party
25 intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The Sponsors of and any
26 other contributors to the Specification are not, and shall not be held responsible in any manner, for
27 identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights. **This**
28 **Specification is provided "AS IS", and no participant in the Liberty Alliance makes any**
29 **warranty of any kind, express or implied, including any implied warranties of**
30 **merchantability, non-infringement of third party intellectual property rights, and fitness for**
31 **a particular purpose.** Implementors of this Specification are advised to review the Liberty
32 Alliance Project's website (<http://www.projectliberty.org>) for information concerning any
33 Necessary Claims Disclosure Notices that have been received by the Liberty Alliance Management
34 Board.

35 Liberty Alliance Project
36 Licensing Administrator
37 c/o IEEE-ISTO
38 445 Hoes Lane
39 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA
40 info@projectliberty.org

41 **Editors**

- 42 Lena Kannappan, France Telecom
43 Matthieu Lachance, OpenWave
44 John Kemp, IEEE-ISTO

45 **Contributors**

- | | |
|--|--|
| ActivCard | NEC Corporation |
| American Express Travel Related Services | Netegrity |
| America Online, Inc. | NeuStar |
| Bank of America | Nextel Communications |
| Bell Canada | Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company |
| Catavault | Nokia Corporation |
| Cingular Wireless | Novell, Inc. |
| Cisco Systems, Inc. | NTT DoCoMo, Inc. |
| Citigroup | OneName Corporation |
| Communicator, Inc. | Openwave Systems Inc. |
| Consignia | PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP |
| Cyberun Corporation | Register.com |
| Deloitte & Touche LLP | RSA Security Inc |
| EarthLink, Inc. | Sabre Holdings Corporation |
| Electronic Data Systems, Inc. | SAP AG |
| Entrust, Inc. | SchlumbergerSema |
| Ericsson | SK Telecom |
| Fidelity Investments | Sony Corporation |
| France Telecom | Sun Microsystems, Inc. |
| Gemplus | United Airlines |
| General Motors | VeriSign, Inc. |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Visa International |
| i2 Technologies, Inc. | Vodafone Group Plc |
| Intuit Inc. | Wave Systems |
| MasterCard International | |

46
47
48

Document History

Rev	Date	By Whom	Description
00	02-Apr-02	Lena Kannappan	Initial outline
01	12-Apr-02	Lena Kannappan	Introduced new Columns and Tables to separate ZIC-UA and LECP requirements
02	26-Apr-02	Matthieu Lachance Lena Kannappan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Renamed the document from Static Conformance Requirements to Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines document as it no longer consists of Mandatory or Optional requirements for identity provider or SP or LECP. Restructured the document to reflect that the document is service or deployment focused. Recommended good identity provider and SP profiles were added. Checklists of requirements for identity provider, SP and LECP were created.
03	09-May-02	Lena Kannappan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes based on Change Requests #1051, and #1061 Various minor editorial changes to indicate the recent section number changes to Authentication Context specifications.
04	16-May-02	Lena Kannappan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Corrected CR# to 1061 in the previous Document History (May 09th).

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Editorial changes to sections 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6 to reflect changes to Authentication Context specifications ver 06.
05	29-Oct-02	Lena Kannappan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of Reference to Liberty Architecture Overview document for Policy/Security and Technical notes associated with implementations. • Changes based on CR#1126 : two references to the "DoFederate" element of the AuthNRequest in the sections for IDP and SP guidelines to "Federate" element. • Sections 2.1, 2.2, 3.1 and 3.2 modified to address CR#1162: Register Name Identification extended to include IDP and SP initiation of changes, • Various editorial changes.
06	05-Nov-2002	John Kemp	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Small editorial changes for format • Fixed several of the references • Tightened language in 2.1, 2.2 for CR #1162
07	20-Dec-2002	John Kemp	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Updated references • Inserted new logo • Inserted new legal text
1.1 Final	15-Jan-2003	John Kemp	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Typos, corrected document description

49 **Table of Contents**

50 1 Introduction..... 5

51 2 Recommended Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines 5

52 2.1 Identity Provider Implementation Guidelines 5

53 2.2 Service Provider Implementation Guidelines 7

54 2.3 LECP Implementation Guidelines..... 9

55 3 Liberty Architecture Specifications Checklist 9

56 3.1 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Identity Provider 9

57 3.2 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Service Provider..... 10

58 3.3 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — LECP 11

59 3.4 Authentication Context Requirements — Identity Provider..... 11

60 3.5 Authentication Context Requirements — Service Provider 12

61 3.6 Authentication Context Requirements — LECP..... 13

62 4 References..... 13

63

1 Introduction

This document defines the recommended implementation guidelines and checklists for the Liberty architecture focused on deployments for the service-providing entities: service providers, identity providers, and Liberty-enabled clients or proxies (LECPs). It is intended to provide recommended implementation guidelines to Liberty component developers to help them decide what they need to implement to meet their business needs. Because Liberty Phase 1 does not provide formal compliance, this document does not contain any conformance requirements — only recommendations. A recommended profile tailored according to the high-level Liberty features is provided for different Liberty service-providing entities. Implementers facing specific needs can decide to implement what they need and claim support for each specific feature separately.

The document also provides a checklist of requirements based on the following Liberty architecture specification categories that implementers can use to advertise their supported feature set:

- Functionality in the Liberty protocols and schemas described
- Bindings and profiles defined for each Liberty protocol type (specific interactions between identity providers, service providers, and LECPs)
- The authentication request and reply context-specific information

Definitions for Liberty-specific terms can be found in [[LibertyGloss](#)]. Note: Phrases and numbers in brackets [] refer to other documents; details of these references can be found in Section 4 (at the end of this document).

Policy/Security and Technical notes related to implementations are covered by Liberty Architecture Overview document associated with this Implementation Guidelines document specified by [[LibertyArchOverview](#)].

2 Recommended Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines

The recommended implementation guidelines for identity providers, service providers, and LECPs are listed in the tables in 2.1 through 2.3. The guidelines refer to front-channel-based and back-channel-based mechanisms. *Front channel* is described as a communication channel where HTTP redirect-, GET-, and POST-based request and response protocol messages between the identity provider and the service provider flow through the Web browser. *Back channel* is a SOAP/HTTP-based direct communication channel between the identity provider and the service provider. A service provider with SOAP client support is considered to be a “back-channel-capable SP” whereas a “basic SP” is not back-channel-capable.

2.1 Identity Provider Implementation Guidelines

Liberty Feature	Recommendations
Single Sign-On	It is strongly recommended that identity providers support the LECP single sign-on profile to ensure forward compatibility. The LECP profile is intended for future clients of all kinds (thin and thick) as well as existing wireless thin clients (WML, HDML, etc) when used with a LEP.

Liberty Feature	Recommendations
	<p>Identity providers that want to support existing HTML client environments should implement the browser artifact and the browser POST single sign-on profiles.</p> <p>To support existing WML client in environments that do not contain any LEP, identity providers should support the WML single sign-on profile.</p>
Identity Federation	<p>Identity providers that want to support permanent identity linking between service providers and identity providers (beyond the stateless single sign-on association) should support the <Federate> element of the <AuthnRequest> for all the supported single sign-on profiles.</p>
Federation Termination Notification	<p>Identity providers that support identity federation should also support the Federation Termination Notification Protocol. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated federation termination notification should be supported.</p> <p>Liberty offers two federation termination notification mechanisms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Front channel, or HTTP-redirect-based • Back channel, or SOAP-based <p>As a minimum, identity providers should support the front-channel-based mechanism. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement both mechanisms.</p>
Name Registration	<p>The Name Registration Protocol allows the service provider to use its own opaque handle to identify the Principal when communicating with the identity provider (rather than using the identity provider's opaque handle generated during federation). This protocol also allows the identity provider to register a new name identifier with the service provider at any time after federation.</p> <p>When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated Name Registration should be supported.</p> <p>Liberty offers two Name Registration mechanisms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Front channel, or HTTP-redirect-based • Back channel, or SOAP-based <p>At a minimum, identity providers should support the front-channel-based mechanism. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement both mechanisms.</p>

Liberty Feature	Recommendations
Single Logout	<p>The Single Logout Protocol allows logging out a Principal from all its active sessions to service providers, linked to an identity provider. Identity providers keeping trace of the Principal's service provider sessions should implement this feature. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated single logout should be supported.</p> <p>Liberty offers two single logout mechanisms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Front channel, or HTTP-redirect-based• Back channel, or SOAP-based <p>As a minimum, identity providers supporting this feature should support the front-channel-based mechanism. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement both mechanisms.</p>
Identity Provider Introduction	<p>Identity providers that want to support more than a single circle of trust simultaneously should support the Identity Provider Introduction Protocol.</p>

98

99 **2.2 Service Provider Implementation Guidelines**

100 In general service providers are divided in two categories: the back-channel-capable SPs and the
101 basic SPs (that are not back-channel-capable).

102

Liberty Feature	Recommendations
Single Sign-On	<p>It is strongly recommended that service providers support the LECP single sign-on profile to ensure forward compatibility. The LECP profile is intended for future clients of all kinds (thin and thick) as well as existing wireless thin clients (WML, HDML, etc) when used with a LEP.</p> <p>Service providers that want to support existing HTML client environments should implement the browser artifact and the browser POST single sign-on profiles.</p> <p>To support existing WML client in environments that do not contain any LEP, service providers should support the WML single sign-on profile.</p>
Identity Federation	<p>Service providers that want to support permanent identity linking between service providers and identity providers (beyond the stateless single sign-on association) should support the <Federate> element of the <AuthnRequest> for all the supported single sign-on profiles.</p>
Federation Termination Notification	<p>Service providers that support identity federation should also support the Federation Termination Notification Protocol. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated federation termination notification should be supported.</p> <p>Service providers should support either the front-channel or back-channel federation termination notification mechanisms depending on their respective capabilities although nothing prevents them from supporting both mechanisms if desired.</p>
Name Registration	<p>The Name Registration Protocol allows the service provider to use its own opaque handle to identify the Principal when communicating with the identity provider (rather than using the identity provider's opaque handle generated during federation). This protocol also allows the service provider to register a new name identifier with the identity provider at any time after federation.</p> <p>Service providers should support either the front-channel or back-channel Name Registration mechanisms depending on their respective capabilities although nothing prevents them from supporting both mechanisms if desired.</p>
Single Logout	<p>The Single Logout Protocol allows logging out a Principal from all its active sessions to service providers, linked to an identity provider. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated single logout should be supported.</p> <p>Service providers should support either the front-channel or back-channel single logout mechanisms depending on their respective capabilities although nothing prevents them from supporting both mechanisms if desired.</p>
Identity Provider Introduction	<p>Service providers that want to support networks with more than a single circle of trust simultaneously should support the Identity Provider Introduction Protocol.</p>

103

104 **2.3 LECP Implementation Guidelines**

105

Liberty Feature	Recommendations
Single Sign-On	Support for LECP single sign-on profile.

106

107 **3 Liberty Architecture Specifications Checklist**

108 **3.1 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Identity Provider**

109

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
IDP-FED-1	Identity Federation	Section 3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SSO-1	Single Sign-On using Browser Artifact	Section 3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SSO-2	Single Sign-On using Browser POST	Section 3.2.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SSO-3	Single Sign-On using WML POST	Section 3.2.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SSO-4	Single Sign-On using LECP	Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-1	Register Name Identifier — Front Channel	Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-2	Register Name Identifier — Back Channel	Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-3	Register Name Identifier (Identity Provider initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.3.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-4	Register Name Identifier (Identity Provider initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.3.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-5	Register Name Identifier (Service Provider initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-REG-6	Register Name Identifier (Service Provider initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-2	Identity Federation Termination — Front Channel	Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-3	Identity Federation Termination — Back Channel	Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-4	Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.4.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-5	Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.4.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-6	Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.4.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-FED-7	Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider	Section 3.4.2.2	

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
	Initiated) — Back Channel	[LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SLO-1	Single Logout	Section 3.5 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SLO-2	Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: Redirect	Section 3.5.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SLO-3	Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: SOAP	Section 3.5.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SLO-4	Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: Redirect	Section 3.5.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-SLO-5	Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: SOAP	Section 3.5.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-INT-1	Identity Provider Introduction	Section 3.6 [LibertyBindProf]	
IDP-COM-1	HTTP Connection over SSL3.0 or TLS1.0 [RFC2246], WTLS	[SSLv3], [RFC2246], [WTLS]	
IDP-COM-2	Support for Minimum URL length of 256 bytes	[RFC2965]	
IDP-COM-3	Support for Session Cookies	[RFC2965]	

110

111 **3.2 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Service Provider**

112

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
SP-FED-1	Identity Federation	Section 3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SSO-1	Single Sign-On using Browser Artifact	Section 3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SSO-2	Single Sign-On using Browser POST	Section 3.2.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SSO-3	Single Sign-On using WML	Section 3.2.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SSO-4	Single Sign-On using LECP	Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-1	Register Name Identifier — Front Channel	Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-2	Register Name Identifier — Back Channel	Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-3	Register Name Identifier (Identity Provider initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.3.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-4	Register Name Identifier (Identity Provider initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.3.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-5	Register Name Identifier (Service Provider initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-REG-6	Register Name Identifier (Service Provider initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
SP-FED-2	Identity Federation Termination — Front Channel	Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-FED-3	Identity Federation Termination — Back Channel	Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-FED-4	Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.4.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-FED-5	Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.4.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-FED-6	Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Front Channel	Section 3.4.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-FED-7	Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Back Channel	Section 3.4.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SLO-1	Single Logout	Section 3.5 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SLO-2	Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: Redirect	Section 3.5.1.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SLO-3	Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: SOAP	Section 3.5.1.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SLO-4	Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: Redirect	Section 3.5.2.1 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-SLO-5	Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: SOAP	Section 3.5.2.2 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-INT-1	Identity Provider Introduction	Section 3.6 [LibertyBindProf]	
SP-COM-1	HTTP Connection over SSL3.0 or TLS1.0 [RFC2246], WTLS	[SSLv3], [RFC2246], [WTLS]	
SP-COM-2	Support for Minimum URL Length of 256 bytes	[RFC2965]	
SP-COM-3	Support for Session Cookies	[RFC2965]	

113

114 **3.3 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — LECP**

115

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
LECP-SSO-1	Single Sign-On using LECP	Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf]	
LECP-COM-1	Support for Minimum URL Length of 256 bytes	[RFC2965]	
LECP-COM-2	Support for Session Cookies	[RFC2965]	

116

117 **3.4 Authentication Context Requirements — Identity Provider**

118

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
IDP-AUTHN-01	MobileContract	Section 5.1.1	

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
		[LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-02	MobileDigitalID	Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-03	MobileUnregistered	Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-04	Password	Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-05	Password-ProtectedTransport	Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-06	Previous-Session	Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-07	Smartcard	Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-08	Smartcard-PKI	Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-09	Software-PKI	Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
IDP-AUTHN-10	Time-Sync-Token	Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext]	

119

120 **3.5 Authentication Context Requirements — Service Provider**

121

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
SP-AUTHN-01	MobileContract	Section 5.1.1 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-02	MobileDigitalID	Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-03	MobileUnregistered	Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-04	Password	Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-05	Password-ProtectedTransport	Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-06	Previous-Session	Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-07	Smartcard	Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-08	Smartcard-PKI	Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-09	Software-PKI	Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
SP-AUTHN-10	Time-Sync-Token	Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext]	

122

123 **3.6 Authentication Context Requirements — LECP**

124

Req ID#	Description	Ref	Y/N
LECP-AUTHN-01	MobileContract	Section 5.1.1 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-02	MobileDigitalID	Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-03	MobileUnregistered	Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-04	Password	Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-05	Password-ProtectedTransport	Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-06	Previous-Session	Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-07	Smartcard	Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-08	Smartcard-PKI	Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-09	Software-PKI	Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext]	
LECP-AUTHN-10	Time-Sync-Token	Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext]	

125

126 **4 References**

127 [LibertyArchOverview] Hodges, J., & Wason, T., eds. (January 2003) “Liberty Architecture
128 Overview,” Version 1.1. Liberty Alliance Project,
129 <<http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/>>.

130 [LibertyAuthnContext] Madsen, P., & Kemp, J., eds. (January 2003). “Liberty
131 Authentication Context Specification,” Version 1.1. Liberty Alliance
132 Project, <<http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/>>.

133 [LibertyBindProf] Rouault, J., & Wason, T., eds. (January 2003). “Liberty Bindings
134 and Profiles Specification,” Version 1.1. Liberty Alliance Project,
135 <<http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/>>.

136 [LibertyGloss] Mauldin, H., & Wason, T., eds. (January 2003). “Liberty
137 Architecture Glossary,” Version 1.1. Liberty Alliance Project,
138 <<http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/>>.

139 [LibertyProtSchema] Beatty, J., & Kemp, J., eds. (January 2003). “Liberty Protocols and
140 Schema Specification,” Version 1.1. Liberty Alliance Project,
141 <<http://www.projectliberty.org/specs/>>.

142 [RFC2246] Dierks, T.,& Allen, C. (January 1999). “The TLS Protocol Version
143 1.0,” RFC 2246. The Internet Engineering Task Force,
144 <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>> [18 December 2002]

- 145 [RFC2965] Kristol, D., & Montulli, L. (October 2000). "HTTP State
146 Management Mechanism," RFC 2965. The Internet Engineering
147 Task Force, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc2965.txt>> [18
148 December 2002].
- 149 [SAMLBind] Mishra, P., ed. (05 Nov. 2002). "Bindings and Profiles for the
150 OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)," Version 1.0,
151 OASIS Standard. Organization for the Advancement of Structured
152 Information Standards, <[http://www.oasis-
153 open.org/committees/security/#documents](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/#documents)> [18 December 2002].
- 154 [SAMLCore] Hallam-Baker, P., Maler, E., eds. (05 Nov. 2002). "Assertions and
155 Protocol for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language
156 (SAML)," Version 1.0, OASIS Standard. Organization for the
157 Advancement of Structured Information Standards,
158 <<http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/#documents>> [18
159 December 2002].
- 160 [SOAP1.1] D. Box et al. (May 2000). "Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP)
161 1.1," Note. World Wide Web Consortium,
162 <<http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>> [18 December 2002].
- 163 [SSLv3] Freier, A. O., Karlton, P., & Kocher, P. (November 1996). "The SSL
164 Protocol," Version 3.0, Internet Draft 02. Internet Engineering Task
165 Force,
166 <<http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>>
167 [18 December 2002].
- 168 [WML1.3] (February 2000). "Wireless Application Protocol Wireless Markup
169 Language Specification" Version 1.3. Wireless Application Protocol
170 Forum, Ltd., <<http://www.wapforum.org/what/technical.htm>> [18
171 December 2002].
- 172 [WTLS] (September 2001). "Wireless Transport Layer Security" Wireless
173 Application Forum, Ltd.,
174 <<http://www.wapforum.org/what/technical.htm>> [18 December
175 2002].
- 176 [XMLSig] Eastlake, D., Reagle, J., Solo, D., et al. (February 2002). "XML-
177 Signature Syntax and Processing," Recommendation. World Wide
178 Web Consortium, <<http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>> [18
179 December 2002].
- 180